

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
ununy tiento gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,346	01/15/2002	Jun Gao	10012641-1	5995
7590 09/09/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		GUADALUPE, YARITZA		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			ART UNIT	TATER NOMBER
		2859		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/050,346	GAO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yaritza Guadalupe McCall	2859			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	<u>une 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/050,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

In response to Amendment filed on June 17, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1 – 9 and 21 - 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Allen et al. (US 6,291,829).

Art Unit: 2859

Allen et al. discloses a method and apparatus for surface texture analysis comprising the steps of analyzing an information or image signal by extracting texture related features and producing an output signal representative of said features which will be algorithmically processed to discriminate and classify media by having at least two input parameters, i.e., extracted features such as average gray scale values, spatial frequencies, etc., and having an output which has a joint dependency on said input parameter, i.e., identify the type or class of media, said input parameters being associated with image – related measurements acquired from imaging textural features which are characteristics of different classes of media said output being an identification of a media class, wherein said media class includes at least one type of paper with surface textural features that are inherent to said media class.

Allen et al. further discloses imaging a medium of interest (See Column 6, lines 25 – 40) to acquire image information regarding surface textural features of said medium of interest, said textural features being related to structure of said medium of interest. Allen et al. discloses determining said image – related measurements, i.e., surface textural features, from said image information.

Allen et al. also discloses employing a probabilistic input – output system to associate said medium of interest with a selected media class, including using said image – related measurements, i.e., surface textural features such as gray scale values, determined from said image information as said input parameters (See Column 7, lines 17 - 67) and ultimately classify said media of interest into classes or type (See Column 8, lines 24 – 38).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,346 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

The method steps as stated in claims 2-9 can be met by the regular operation of the apparatus disclosed by Allen et al. since it is clearly stated that one skilled in the art of algorithms for calculating measurements from sensor data will readily appreciate that a variety of computational methods that are already available, i.e., cluster weighted modeling, so as to ultimately classify said media of interest into classes or type and since Allen et al. already discloses the use of mathematical algorithms, look-up tables and multidimensional polynomials (See Column 7, lines 35-67) in order to ultimately classify a medium of interest based on said surface texture parameters.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7 – 9, filed February 2, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 – 9 and 21 - 24 under 102 (e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Allen et al.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yaritza Guadalupe McCall whose telephone number is (571) 272-2244. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,346 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

port

Yaritza Guadalupe Patent Examiner Art Unit 2859 September 7, 2004 DIEGO F.F. GUTIERREZ SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800